1	BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP Paul A. Alarcon (SBN: 275036)		
2	paul.alarcon@bowmanandbrooke.com Samuel Q. Schleier (SBN: 312449)		
3	sam.schleier@bowmanandbrooke.com Colton F. Parks (SBN: 322491)		
4	colton.parks@bowmanandbrooke.com 970 West 190th Street, Suite 700 Torrance, California 90502 Tel No.: (310) 768-3068		
5			
6	Fax No.: (310) 719-1019		
7	Attorneys for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC		
8	ADMINIST OF A TENG DAGEDAGE COLUDE		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO COURTHOUSE		
11	L D 10154	l G N 222 020	07. N.C
12	Jane Doe LS 154,	Case No.: 3:23-cv-03807-VC	
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF PAUL A. ALARCON IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTION TO TRANSFER BRIEFING SCHEDULE, EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO BRING A MOTION TO	
14	V.		
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware Limited		
16	Liability Company; RASIER-CA, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, and DOES DISMISS, AND CONTINUANCE OF CA MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND		NFERENCE AND
17	1 through 50, Inclusive,	RELATED DEADLI	NES
18	Defendants.	Complaint Filed:	July 31, 2023
19		Trial Date:	None
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DECLARATION OF PAUL A. ALARCON

- I, Paul A. Alarcon, declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before all courts of the State of California. I am a Partner at the law firm Bowman and Brooke LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC ("Defendants"). I make this declaration of my personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. This declaration is made in support of Defendants and Plaintiff Jane Doe LS 154's ("Plaintiff") Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re: Motion to Transfer Briefing Schedule, Extension of Time for Defendants to Bring a Motion to Dismiss, and Continuance of Case Management Conference and Related Deadlines (the "Stipulation").
- 3. Plaintiff filed her Complaint on July 31, 2023, and Defendants were served on August 2, 2023. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(1)(A)(i), Defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint is August 23, 2023.
- 4. The Court ordered the parties to file a Joint Case Management Statement on or before October 27, 2023 and for the parties to appear at a Case Management Conference on November 3, 2023 (Doc. No. 13).
- 5. Counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants are engaged in active litigation with regard to several matters recently filed in the Northern District of California.
- 6. Defendants intend to bring a Motion to Transfer Venue and a Motion to Dismiss in the instant matter. To avoid burdening the Court with unnecessary motions, to promote judicial efficiency, and to provide for the orderly resolution of the case, the parties agree that the Court's resolution of Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue is a threshold issue that should be decided prior to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. As a result, the parties agree that Defendants shall file a Motion to Transfer Venue on or before October 6, 2023. Additionally, the parties have sought to litigate the instant matter in good faith, including by way of agreeing to reasonable extensions of time where possible, to ensure that no undue burden falls on the parties, their attorneys, or the Court.

- 7. The parties also agree that good cause exists for a continuance of the deadline to file a Joint Case Management Statement, any related Case Management Conference to be scheduled by the Court, and any related deadlines under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Civil Local Rules (including any deadlines for ADR compliance) to allow the parties to brief and the Court to rule on Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue and Motion to Dismiss.
- 8. The parties agree that, if granted, Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue would result in the matter being transferred to a different United States District Court, which would moot any deadlines in the instant Court. Additionally, should the Court deny Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue, Defendants intend to bring a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, which may fully resolve Plaintiff's claims, if granted. The Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss will affect the parties' discussions regarding the scope of discovery and anticipated timeline to prepare the case for trial, and, as a result, it is premature to set case management deadlines until Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue and, if necessary, Motion to Dismiss are resolved.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 18, 2023, in Orange County, California.

By: /s/ Paul A. Alarcon
Paul A. Alarcon

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on August 18, 2023, I electronically transmitted the foregoing DECLARATION OF PAUL A. ALARCON IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTION TO TRANSFER BRIEFING SCHEDULE, EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO BRING A MOTION TO DISMISS, AND CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing thereby transmitting a Notice of *Electronic* Filing to all CM/ECF registrants. /s/ Claudia Nunez Claudia Nunez